Liu, Xin and Wang, Xungai 2007, Comparative study on the felting propensity of animal fibers, *Textile research journal*, vol. 77, no. 12, pp. 957-963.

A Comparative Study on the Felting Propensity of Animal Fibers

Xin Liu and Xungai Wang *

Centre for Material and Fiber Innovation, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia

Abstract: The felting propensity of different animal fibers, particularly alpaca and wool, has been examined. The Aachen felting test method was employed. 1 g of each type of fiber was soaked in 50 ml of wetting solution and agitated in a dyeing machine to make felt balls. The diameter of each ball was measured in nine directions and the ball density was calculated in g/cm3; the higher the density value of the ball, the higher the feltability of the fibers. The effects of fiber diameter and fiber length on the felting propensity of these fibers were investigated. The results show that the alpaca fibers felt to a higher degree than wool fibers, and short and fine cashmere fibers have lower felting propensity than wool fibers at a similar diameter range. There is a higher tendency of felting for bleached and dyed alpaca fibers than for untreated fibers. Fiber length has a remarkable influence on the propensity of fiber felting. Cotton and nylon fibers were also tested for felting propensity to verify the mechanism responsible for the different fiber felting behavior.

Keywords: Felting propensity, wool, cashmere, alpaca

Introduction

Felting is a unique property of many animal fibers. It can be highly desirable [1], particularly in manufacturing felted products, which account for about 5% of the wool market in Australia [2]. However, felting is undesirable in raw wool scouring, in many wet treatment of yarns and woven and knitted fabrics and in home laundering of knitted garments [1]. Fiber felting or entanglement in scouring results in fiber breakage during subsequent processing such as carding, gilling and combing [3]. Studies [2; 4] conducted recently have shown that knitted fabric from low felting wool was more resistant to pilling and shrinkage than fabric from high felting wool. Low felting wool resulted in less entanglement during scouring and longer length top in topmaking, leading to fewer breakages during spinning.

Scales of wool and other animal fibers are believed to be the major contributor to the felting shrinkage of products made from these fibers. The mechanism of fiber felting is very complicated and the findings from literatures are not always consistent. In general, felting is a form of tangling produced by the persistent rootward migration of the individual fibers, which is caused by the directional frictional effect (DFE) of fibers [5-7]. Without a directional frictional effect (DFE) no felting takes place [8].

Two principal frictional coefficients can be measured for wool fiber: that from root to tip of the fiber (with-scale: $\mu 1$) and that from tip to root (against-scale: $\mu 2$), $\mu 2$ being always greater than

$\mu 1$ [7]. However, because of the different friction surface and other conditions used for testing, it is difficult to say if the largely varied frictional coefficients found in the literatures are suitable for comparing different fibers, such as wool, alpaca, mohair and other animal fibers. Different mechanisms have been used to explain the relation between felting and frictional properties [6], for example, $\sigma = \mu 2 - \mu 1$ [9] or $\delta = (\mu 2 - \mu 1)/(\mu 2 + \mu 1)$ [7]. These combinations have been suggested as being significant for felting. The coefficient δ represents an attempt to allow for the frictional hindrance to migration. It is suggested that σ (representing DFE), measured on a horn rod under standardized conditions, has to be greater than 0.1 before a fabric will felt significantly [6].

Wool felting normally follows this process [10]: When the forces acting on the single fibers are smaller than the with-scale frictional forces, the fibers are not able to move and no felting will take place. When the force exceeds the with-scale friction, movements in one direction occur. The shrinkage is determined by these irreversible displacements, which are proportional to the resulting force (viz., the applied force minus the kinetic friction) and inversely proportional to the fiber stiffness. When the force exceeds the against-scale friction as well, displacements of a fiber are possible in two directions, which are both proportional to the appertaining resulting force, so that the difference which is significant for the shrinkage is virtually independent of the force.

Most works on felting have been conducted on wool fiber only. Makinson [5; 6] reviewed different mechanisms of felting and shrinkage of wool and wool fabrics and concluded that "Felting is the process of progressive entanglement of the fibers in an assembly, occurring as a direct result of agitation by undirected external forces". There are a number of fiber properties involved in felting, [6; 8; 11-13], the important ones being the original state of fibers in an assembly (i.e. loose wool, yarn and fabric), surface friction, fiber diameter, fiber length, elasticity, the flexural and bending rigidity of fiber (or the magnitude of the fiber deformations), crimp or compressibility and a series of alternate elongations and contractions of fiber or fabric etc. There are also many external factors that influence the felting degree: the medium (i.e. water, detergent or solvent) used for felting test, the conditions of pH and temperature for simulating actual laundering and the agitation and type of washing machines. It is consequently difficult to generalize the results from all these studies. It is quite possible that in more than one way fiber migration takes place and that several mechanisms co-operate together [10]. The entanglement of fibers is strongly affected by the fiber linear density, cross-sectional shape and stiffness [14]. For felting, the fibers have to be stiff enough to migrate and flexible enough to change their shape under mechanical, thermal or chemical stimulation, to interlace and become entangled, and long fiber length will also assist the entanglement [6].

Fibers with a high level of polar groups show high regain [6; 15; 16]. When a fiber absorbs moisture, the moisture diffuses into the fiber, causing the molecules to separate and fiber volume to increase, which is called swelling (or hygral expansion). The stiffness of fiber reduces as a result. The adsorbed water molecules act as a lubricant, reducing the coefficient of with-scale friction, and fiber migration will be easier [6; 16]. When a loose mass of feltable animal fibers is agitated in water, with pressure on them, the individual fibers move preferentially in one direction, becoming entangled and consolidating the structure of the assembly [6].

Phan *et al*. [17] reported that the mean scale height of alpaca fibers with a fiber diameter greater than 19μm is approximately 0.4μm while that of wool fiber with similar fineness is around

0.8μm. Obviously such scale profile will result in smaller difference between the friction coefficients against scale and with scale for alpaca fibers than the corresponding difference for wool fibers [18]. It has been reported that DFE is on average 0.20 for Huacaya alpaca, 0.16 for Suri alpaca [18] and 0.40 for sheep wool [19]. In addition, as the cuticle cell thickness reduces, the bending rigidity of fiber may reduce [20]. For alpaca fiber, the mean scale frequency is greater than 9 scale edges per 100μm while wool fiber has 4 per 100μm [17]. This would result in a smoother surface for alpaca fiber than wool. It has been claimed that alpaca fiber has lower felting propensity than wool [18]. However, there is little published information on felting shrinkage testing for alpaca fibers. As reviewed above, DFE is not a single factor that affects fiber felting. In this study, the felting propensity of alpaca and wool is investigated and the effects of fiber diameter, length and chemical treatment on felting are examined. For comparison, cashmere, cotton and nylon fibers are also examined for fiber felting behaviour.

Experimental

The Aachen felting test method [1] was employed in this study. The main fields of application of the felting test are the determination of the feltability of greasy wool before scouring, and in the control and evaluation of shrink-resist treatments on tops. Due to the different degree of dust particles built on the greasy fiber surface, which may affect the felting result, the clean wool, alpaca and cashmere top were chosen for examining the felt propensity of these fibers. One gram of top was selected from wool, alpaca and cashmere samples and added into 50ml wetting solution (1% Wetting agent solution - Solpon 4488) in the 150ml standard stainless-steel container (pot), with five steel balls to enhance the agitation. The lid tightened pots were then fitted into a Rapid Dyeing Machine (Labortex Co.LTD). The dye bath was pre-heated to 45^{o}C and the machine was set to run for 75 minutes at 60 turns/min. The felt balls were generated (see Figure 1) and then gently rinsed with running cold water (not squeezed) and dried at 60^{o}C for 48 hours. Diameters of balls were measured with an Absolute Digimatic Caliper (Mitutoyo (UK) Ltd) in 9 directions (as illustrated in Figure 2) and the average diameter for each ball was used to calculate the ball density in gram/cm^3. The felt ball density is used as an indication of the feltability. The smaller ball, the greater the felting. In other words, the higher the ball density value, the greater the feltablity of fibers.

Top samples were prepared into three length groups. "Full length" group used fiber length "As-is" from top and weighed the fibers to the required mass (1 gram); In "Cut length 55mm" group, fibers were drawn from top, aligned one end by hand and then cut the other end to the same length of 55mm. Fibers in "Cut length 10mm" group were chopped into 10mm snippets with a guillotine. Bleached and dyed alpaca tops were chosen from samples of a previous study on Australian alpaca fiber [21; 22]. A dark brown alpaca top was selective-bleached using two bleaching methods (Bleach I - Modified Conventional Ferrous Mordant System, and Bleach II - Radical Ferrous Mordant System). The methods have been fully described in the literature [22]. The main difference between the two bleach systems is the concentration of Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2). The volume of H_2O_2 used in bleach method II (BL-II) was double that in method I (BL-I). Tops were dyed by Lanaset BORDEAUX B (Ciba Specialty Chemicals) after bleaching and then processed further to yarns [21; 22]. Two chlorine treated wool tops (Superwash wool) were also selected.

The fiber diameter and fiber length of all top samples were measured by an OFDA4000 (BSC Electronics Pty. Ltd, Australia) in a standard atmosphere of temperature of 20±2°C and a relative humidity of 65%±3%.

Figure 1 Felt balls from alpaca, wool and bleached and dyed alpaca fibers



Figure 2 Schematic on measurement of felt ball diameter

Results and discussion

Surface properties of animal fibers

Figure 3 shows the SEM images of a typical cashmere, alpaca and wool fiber. Comparing with the scale of wool, cashmere and alpaca fiber scales are thinner and denser. The scale properties of more alpaca and wool fibers were examined [22], and the results are reproduced in Table 1. With fiber diameters ranging from 16 to 40µm, the mean scale height of alpaca fiber is approximately

0.4μm, while that of wool fiber (of similar fineness range) is around 1.0μm. These results are consistent with reports of Phan *et al* [17]. The lower scale height and higher scale frequency for alpaca fibers will reduce differential frictional effect (DFE).



Figure 3 Scale profile of cashmere (Left), alpaca fiber (Middle) and wool fiber (Right)

Table1 Surface properties of alpaca and wool fibers

Fiber type	Fiber diameter range (μm)	Scale frequency per 100μm	Scale height (nm)
Huacaya alpaca	16.57-40.08	10.53	374.59
Wool	16.04-39.35	7.60	1097.80

Fiber length effect on felting

Fiber length has a significant effect on feltability (Figure 4). As the length of wool and alpaca fibers decreases, the felt ball density is reduced by 1.3-22% from full length to cut length of 55mm (Paired T-test: $P<0.05$). When the fibers were cut into short length of 10mm, after 75minutes agitating with steel balls, there were no felt balls generated from all samples. This result agrees with the previous studies [12; 23], that an increase in mean fiber length promoted felting shrinkage. But because other parameters such as crimp and fiber diameter also affect felting shrinkage, it is often difficult to ascribe certain phenomena exclusively to the effect of fiber length alone.



Figure 4 Effects of fiber diameter and length on the feltability

Fiber diameter effect

Interestingly, Figure 4 shows a higher felting propensity for alpaca fibers than for wool fibers in the same diameter range. The felt ball densities decreased as the fiber diameter increased for both alpaca and wool (R^2=0.66 and 0.68 respectively). Fiber diameter on felting shrinkage has been studied by many workers. It is generally accepted that fine wools felt more than coarse wools [6]. Alpaca fibers have a higher scale frequency than wool (Table 1), and scale lifting occurs when the fibers are wet. This would mean for alpaca fibers, there are more fiber/fiber contact points than for wool during the felting tests. This might explain, at least in part, alpaca fibers' high felting propensity.

Effect of bleaching and dyeing on feltability

Fiber damage to bleached and dyed alpaca was reported in previous studies [24; 25]. The bundle strength and fiber elongation of bleached and further dyed top were reduced more than that of the untreated top. Surface modifications were found in the bleached fibers as well. Surface roughness increased for BL-I fibers. For the BL-II fibers, some scales were stripped off from the fiber trunk and scale edges were removed. Such changes may result in a smooth surface, but may also affect fiber cohesion and DFE. The shriveled fibers resulted in a mean diameter reduction of 1.9 microns for BL-II and 0.5 micron reduction for BL-I. Bleaching destroys the pigments in the fibers, leaving tiny cavities throughout [26]. This may change the stiffness of fibers as well. The rupture of a part of the disulfide linkages by bleaching and dyeing reduces fiber elasticity [8]. All these changes may contribute to the increase of the felt propensity of bleached and dyed fibers (Table 2). This trend was reported in a similar study [27] on bleached karakul wool.

Table 2 Effect of bleaching and dyeing on feltability

Top Sample	Mean fiber diameter (μm)	Mean felt ball diameter (mm)	SD of felt ball diameter (mm)	Ball density – Full length (g/cm^3)
Alpaca top	27.95	29.26	1.38	**0.0762**
Alpaca-BLI	27.97	24.04	1.01	**0.1373**
Alpaca-BLII	27.92	27.46	1.32	**0.0921**
Alpaca-BLI-Dyed	27.78	24.58	1.00	**0.1284**
Alpaca-BLII-Dyed	27.78	25.89	1.08	**0.1099**

Note: SD: standard deviation

Other factors

The lower crimp of alpaca fiber [22] may contribute to its higher felting propensity also. The feltability was inversely related to the degree of wool crimp [6]. It appears that an increase in fiber bending rigidity due to greater fiber diameter may be balanced by a reduction in fiber curvature [28]. Alpaca fibers have much lower curvature than wool, but are generally coarser than wool.

The relatively open alpaca staple may experience more extensive weathering (photochemical degradation) towards its root than wool staple which may be another reason for the high feltaibility of the alpaca fiber. This is supported by previous studies on wool [1; 8], but warrants further study for alpaca.

Validation of felting mechanism

Cashmere is one of the finest animal fibers. The low scale height and long scales give cashmere fiber a smooth surface. In Table 3, cashmere shows a lower felting propensity than wool at the similar diameter range. Low directional frictional effect and short length may be the major reasons for low feltability of cashmere. On the other hand, Suri alpaca with a smooth surface has a similar feltability to Huacaya alpaca. This may be because the long and soft Suri alpaca fibers easily entangle together when agitated in an aqueous solution. Cotton and Nylon fibers were also tested for felting propensity to verify the mechanism responsible for the different fiber felting behaviour. Regardless of the fiber length, no felting occurred for cotton and nylon. Therefore, the prerequisite condition for felting is the directional frictional effect. Other factors such as fiber length, crimp, elasticity and bending rigidity play a part in affecting the degree of felting also.

Wool is often given a shrink-proofing treatment (superwashing) such as chlorination followed by coating with a suitable polymer, to cover the fiber surface and/or to bond fibers together to prevent felt shrinkage. This minimises the frictional effects on wool fiber surface, limits the motion of fibers in all directions [2]. The treated wool tops present low feltability for both fine and coarser fibers (Table 3). The felts from superwash wool are not truly entangled visually, only compacted by agitation of the testing machine.

Table 3 Comparisons of feltability of different fibers

Top	FD	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	D8	D9	Mean	SD	Average Felt ball density
Alpaca(Huacaya)	27.95	29.89	32.05	30.29	28.63	28.51	29.05	28.04	28.52	29.34	29.37	1.23	0.0760
Wool	25.25	35.13	30.43	31.86	35.51	33.61	34.59	31.10	34.13	30.13	32.94	2.08	0.0534
Wool	17.50	25.14	25.16	25.20	25.16	25.13	25.18	25.15	24.84	25.21	25.13	0.11	0.1202
Cashmere(brown)	16.96	35.77	34.36	34.86	28.06	33.51	35.00	36.94	29.89	31.48	33.32	2.92	0.0516
Cashmere (white)	16.82	35.48	33.37	33.76	30.68	34.70	33.75	34.33	30.4	33.86	33.37	1.72	0.0513
Cashmere (white)	18.33	24.00	23.50	24.00	30.00	29.50	30.00	28.50	27.50	26.50	27.06	2.67	0.0963
Suri (white)	27.22	31.21	29.84	31.00	30.15	29.65	30.02	30.95	30.26	26.13	29.91	1.52	0.0713
Suri (fawn)	24.97	32.09	31.91	31.05	26.63	29.86	31.56	31.78	25.61	28.68	29.91	2.42	0.0713
Superwash wool	19.50	34.50	35.00	35.00	35.50	36.50	35.00	36.50	36.00	35.00	35.44	0.73	0.0428
Superwash wool	28.52	37.00	36.50	37.00	35.50	32.50	35.00	33.00	34.00	34.00	34.94	1.69	0.0447
Cotton	14.40												N
Nylon	18.56-34.10												N

Note: FD: fiber diameter (μm); D: diameter of felt ball (mm); N: no felt

Conclusion

Using the Aachen felting test method, alpaca fibers have been found to felt to a higher degree than wool fibers, and short and fine cashmere fibers have a lower felting propensity than wool fibers over a similar diameter range. The high felting propensity for alpaca fibers is likely due to the high scale frequency, low curvature and probably also low bending rigidity, which increase the fiber to fiber contact points during the felting test. There is a higher tendency of felting for bleached and dyed alpaca fibers than untreated fibers. Fiber length has a remarkable influence on the propensity of fiber felting. Absence of felting for cotton and nylon fibers confirms that the pre-requisite for felting is the existence of directional frictional effect (DFE). Other fiber properties, such as fiber length, scale frequency, scale height, fiber diameter, also play an important role in determining the extent of fiber felting.

Acknowledgement

This work was carried out under the China-Australia Wool Innovation Network (CAWIN) project. Funding for the CAWIN project has been provided by Australian wool producers and the Australian Government through Australian Wool Innovation Limited. We also would like to thank Mr. Chris Hurren for his valuable technical assistance.

References:

1. Blankenburg, G. (1969). "The Industrial Application of Felting and Milling Tests for Loose Wool." *Wool Science Review* **35**(Jan): 24-34.
2. Schlink, T. (2002). "Time to Breed Low-shrinkage, easy care wool." *Farming Ahead* **132**(December): 1-2.
3. Bateup, B. O. and Christoe, J. R. (1996). Siroscour: Study of Technical Innovation. Top-Tech '96 Papers, Geelong, Australia, CSIRO, Divistion of Wool Technology and International Wool Secretariat.
4. Schlink, A. C., Greeff, J. C. and Haigh, M. (2002). "You Can Breed for Easy-care Woollen Garments." *International Journal of Sheep and Wool Science* **50**(3): 443-448.
5. Makinson, K. R. (1964). "Felting: The Present Picture. Recent Observations on the Mechanism of Felting." *Wool Science Review* **24**: 34-48.
6. Makinson, K. R. (1979). Shrinkproofing of Wool. Sydney, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York and Basel.
7. Mercer, E. H. and Makinson, K. R. (1947). "The Frictional Properties of Wool and Other Textile Fibers." *Journal of Textile Institute* **38**: T227-240.
8. Chaudri, M. A. and Whiteley, K. J. (1968). "The Influence of Natural Variations in Fiber Properties on the Bulk Compression of Wool." *Textile Research Journal* **38**(9): 897-906.
9. Sookne, A. M., Bogaty, H. and Harris, M. (1951). "The Felting of Shrink-Resistant Wool as Related to Some Properties of the Single Fiber." *Textile Research Journal* **21**: 827-830.
10. Van der Vegt, A. K. and Schuringa, G. J. (1956). "The Relation Between Wool Felting and Single-fiber Properties." *Textile Research Journal* **26**(1): 9-16.
11. Yao, M., Zhou, J. F., Huang, S. Z., Shao, L. H. and Fan, D. X. (1993). Textile Materials (Second Edition). Beijing, China, Textile Industry Press.
12. van Rensburg, N. J. J. and Barkhuysen, F. A. (1985). A Study of Some Factors Which Affect the Felting Shrinkage of Wool. Proceedings of the 7th International Wool Textile Research Conference, Tokyo, Japan, The Society of Fiber Science and Techmology, Japan.
13. Chaudri, M. A. and Whiteley, K. J. (1969). "Frictional and Felting Properties of Wool Fibers Treated with Benzoquinone." *Journal of Textile Institute* **60**(2): 37-45.
14. Goswami, B. C., Duckett, K. E. and Vigo, T. L. (1980). "Torsional Fatigue and Initiation Mechanism of Pilling." *Textile Research Journal* **50**(8): 481-485.
15. Warner, S. B. (1995). Fiber Science. USA, Prentice-Hall, Inc.
16. Chen, M. C., Ree, T. and Eyring, H. (1952). "Stress Relaxation and Shrinkage in Fibers." *Textile Research Journal* **22**(6): 416-423.
17. Phan, K.-H., Wortmann, F.-J., Wortmann, G. and Arns, W. (1988). Characterization of Specialty Fiber by Scanning Electron Microscopy. Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium on Speciality Animal Fibers: Specialty Fibers: Scientific, Technological and Economical Aspects, Aachen, DWI.
18. Villarroel-Leon, J. (1959). A Study of Alpaca Fiber. The University of new South Wales, Sydney, Australia
19. Lipson, M. and Howard, P. (1946). "Friction Between Keratin Surfaces as Affected by Some Shrinkproofing Treatments." *Journal of Society of Dyers and Colourists* **62**: 29-32.

20. McGregor, B. A. (2001). The Quality of Cashmere and its Influence on Textile Materials Produced From Cashmere and Blends With Superfine Wool. Department of Textile Technology, Sydney
21. Wang, X. G., Wang, L. J. and Liu, X. (2003). The Quality and Processing Performance of Alpaca Fibers. Barton ACT, Australia, Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation**:** 118.
22. Liu, X. (2003). A Study of Australian Alpaca Fibers. Faculty of Science and Technology, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia
23. Sookne, A. M., Bogaty, H. and Harris, M. (1950). "Some Felting Properties of Wools of Different Geographical Origins." *Textile Research Journal* **20**(9): 637-642.
24. Liu, X., Hurren, C. J., Wang, L. J. and Wang, X. G. (2004). "Effects of Bleaching and Dyeing on the Quality of Alpaca Tops and Yarns." *Fibers and Polymers* **5**(2): 128-133.
25. Liu, X., Hurren, C. J. and Wang, X. (2003). "Comparative Analysis of Two Selective Bleaching Methods on Alpaca Fibers." *Fibers and Polymers* **4**(3): 124-128.
26. Bereck, A. (1994). "Bleaching of Pigmented Speciality Animal Fibers and Wool." *Review of Progress in Coloration and Related Topics* **24**: 17-25.
27. Chen, W. G., Chen, D. Z. and Wang, X. G. (2001). "Surface Modification and Bleaching of Pigmented Wool." *Textile Research Journal* **71**(5): 441-445.
28. Madeley, T. and Postle, R. (1999). "Physical Properties and Processing of Fine Merino Lamb's Wool. Part III: Effects of Wool Fiber Curvature on the Handle of Flannel Woven from Woolen Spun Yarn." *Textile Research Journal* **69**(8): 576-582.